## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 :
This document relates to: HEATHER ELIZABETH KIMBRO	: : 1:20-md-02974-LMM :
vs.	: Civil Action No
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL.	; ; ;
SHORT	FORM COMPLAINT
Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) na	med below, and for her/their Complaint against th
Defendant(s) named below, incorporate(s)	the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complain
(Doc No. 79), in MDL No. 2974 by refere	nce. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:
1. Name of Plaintiff placed w	ith Paragard: <u>HEATHER ELIZABETH KIMBRO</u>
2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse	(if a party to the case): N/A
3. If case is brought in a repre	esentative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity
(i.e., administrator, executo	r, guardian, conservator): <u>N/A</u>
4. State of Residence of each	Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative
capacity) at time of filing or	f Plaintiff's original complaint: <u>TEXAS</u>
5. State of Residence of each	Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement: <u>TEXAS</u>
6. State of Residence of each	Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: <u>TEXAS</u>
7. District Court and Division	in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper
United States District Cour	et for the Northern District of Texas

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- ☐ B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ☐ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ⊠ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

Date(s) Plaintiff	Placing Physicians(s) or other	Date Plaintiff's	Removal Physician(s)
had Paragard	Health Care Provider	Paragard was	or other Health Care
placed	(include City and State)	Removed	Provided (include
(DD/MM/YYYY)		(DD/MM/YYYY)	City and State)**
		*	**If multiple
		*If multiple	removal(s) or
		removals or	attempted removal
		attempted removal	procedures, list
		procedures, list	information
		date of each	separately.
		separately.	
On information	Planned Parenthood	On information	Dr. Wang
and belief:	Dallas, TX	and belief:	Dallas, TX
2/2015		9/2018	

11.	Plainti	ff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her Paragard upon	
	remov	al.	
	Yes No		
12.	Brief s	statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:	
	As a	direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and	
	contin	ues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, including but not limited to	
	pain and suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss		
	of earr	nings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential future medical expenses.	
	Plainti her.	ff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and complications specific to	
13.	Produ	ct Identification:	
	a.	Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): <u>Unknown</u>	
	b.	Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the Healthcare	
		Provider who placed your Paragard:	
		Yes No	
14.	Count	s in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):	
$\boxtimes$	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect		
$\boxtimes$	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn		
$\boxtimes$	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect		
$\boxtimes$	Count IV – Negligence		
$\boxtimes$	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		

$\boxtimes$	Coun	t VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn			
$\boxtimes$	Coun	Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation			
$\boxtimes$	Coun	Count VIII – Breach of Express Warranty			
$\boxtimes$	Coun	t IX – Breach of Implied Warranty			
$\boxtimes$	Coun	t X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws			
$\boxtimes$	Coun	t XI – Gross Negligence			
$\boxtimes$	Coun	t XII – Unjust Enrichment			
$\boxtimes$	Count XIII – Punitive Damages				
	Count XIV – Loss of Consortium				
	Other	r Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in			
the N	Iaster Co	omplaint below):			
15.	"Tolli	ing/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:			
	a.	Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?			
		Yes No			
	b.	If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts			
		alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis			
		applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:			
	On ir	On information and belief, prior to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff			
	health	ocare providers told her the ParaGard IIID was safe effective reliable and tha			

it co	uld be ea	asily removed. Plaintiff did not realize that she might have a cause of
actio:	n regardi	ing the ParaGard IUD. She did not know there was an issue with the
Para(	Gard IUI	D. She contacted her lawyers after learning she might have a claim.
Cour	nt VII (F	raud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:
a.	Is Pla	intiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII
	(Fraud	d by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?
	Yes No	
b.	If Ye	s, the following information must be provided (in accordance with
	Feder	al Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements
	applic	able to Plaintiff's state law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false:
		Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.
		Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control
		products on the market.
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>
	 111.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her
		healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>
		are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant
		times prior to implantation

17. If Plaintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:

## Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 149 Filed 01/16/23 Page 6 of 6

	a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A
18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master
	Complaint: N/A
19.	Jury Demand:
$\boxtimes$	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	/s/ Laura V. Yaeger
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Yaeger Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 530338
Saint Petersburg, FL 33747
727-202-5015
laura@yourlegalcounsel.net
FL Bar# 101972
TX Bar# 24011432